On Finite W-algebras for Lie Superalgebras

Elena Poletaeva

University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Joint work with

Vera Serganova, UC Berkeley

Research Workshop of Israel Science Foundation

Canicular days

University of Haifa, July 21, 2017

1. INTRODUCTION

• A finite W-algebra is a certain associative algebra attached to a pair (\mathfrak{g}, e) where \mathfrak{g} is a complex semi-simple Lie algebra and $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a nilpotent element.

• A finite W-algebra is a generalization of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. For e = 0 it coincides with $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

• Finite W-algebra is a quantization of the Poisson algebra of functions on the Slodowy (i.e. transversal) slice at e to the orbit Ad(G)e, where $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$.

• Due to recent results of I. Losev, A. Premet and others, finite W-algebras play a very important role in description of primitive ideals.

• Finite W-algebras for semi-simple Lie algebras were introduced by A. Premet.

Finite W-algebras for Lie algebras and superalgebras have been studied by mathematicians and physicists: L. Fehér, C. Briot, E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, A. Premet, I. Losev, V. Ginzburg, W. L. Gan, J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, J. Brown, S. Goodwin, W. Wang, L. Zhao, Y. Zeng, B. Shu, Y. Peng.

2. Finite W-algebras for Lie superalgebras

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ be a Lie superalgebra with reductive even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be an even nilpotent element in the coadjoint representation, i.e. the closure of the $G_{\bar{0}}$ -orbit of χ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ contains zero. ($G_{\bar{0}}$ is the algebraic reductive group of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.)

Definition. The annihilator of χ in \mathfrak{g} is

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\chi} = \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \chi([x, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0 \}.$$

Definition. A good \mathbb{Z} -grading for χ is a \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_j) = 0$ if $j \neq -2$,

(2) \mathfrak{g}^{χ} belongs to $\bigoplus_{j\geq 0} \mathfrak{g}_j$.

• $\chi([\cdot, \cdot])$ defines a non-degenerate skew-symmetric even bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_{-1} .

Let $\mathfrak l$ be a maximal isotropic subspace with respect to this form.

 $\mathfrak{m} := (\bigoplus_{j \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}_j) \bigoplus \mathfrak{l}$ is a nilpotent subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

The restriction of χ to \mathfrak{m} ,

 $\chi : \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines a one-dimensional representation $\mathbb{C}_{\chi} = \langle v \rangle$ of \mathfrak{m} .

Let I_{χ} be the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $a - \chi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{m}$. **Definition.** The induced \mathfrak{g} -module

$$Q_{\chi} := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m})} \mathbb{C}_{\chi} \cong U(\mathfrak{g})/I_{\chi}$$

is called the generalized Whittaker module.

4

Definition. The finite W-algebra associated to the nilpotent element χ is

$$W_{\chi} := \operatorname{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_{\chi})^{op}$$

• As in the Lie algebra case, the superalgebras W_{χ} are all isomorphic for different choices of good Z-gradings and maximal isotropic subspaces \mathfrak{l} .

• By Frobenius reciprocity

$$\operatorname{End}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(Q_{\chi}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{m})}(\mathbb{C}_{\chi}, Q_{\chi}).$$

That defines an identification of W_{χ} with the subspace

$$Q_{\chi}^{\mathfrak{m}} = \{ u \in Q_{\chi} \mid au = \chi(a)u \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{m} \}.$$

• Let $\pi: U(\mathfrak{g}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})/I_{\chi}$ be the natural projection. Then

$$W_{\chi} = \{ \pi(y) \in U(\mathfrak{g})/I_{\chi} \mid (a - \chi(a))y \in I_{\chi} \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{m} \},\$$

Equivalently,

$$W_{\chi} = \{ \pi(y) \in U(\mathfrak{g}) / I_{\chi} \mid \mathrm{ad}(a)y \in I_{\chi} \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{m} \}.$$

The algebra structure on W_{χ} is given by

$$\pi(y_1)\pi(y_2) = \pi(y_1y_2)$$

for $y_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\operatorname{ad}(a)y_i \in I_{\chi}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ and i = 1, 2.

• The case of an *even* good \mathbb{Z} -grading is easier!

Definition. A Z-grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{g}_j$ is called *even*, if $\mathfrak{g}_j = 0$ unless j is an even integer. Let $\mathfrak{p} := \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} \mathfrak{g}_j$ be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then

 $W_{\chi} = U(\mathfrak{p})^{\mathfrak{m}} := \{ y \in U(\mathfrak{p}) \mid [a, y] \in I_{\chi} \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{m} \}.$

Remark. If \mathfrak{g} admits an even non-degenerate \mathfrak{g} -invariant supersymmetric bilinear form, then $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\chi(x) = (e|x)$ for some nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ (i.e. ade is a nilpotent endomorphism of \mathfrak{g}).

e can be included in $\mathfrak{sl}(2) = \langle e, h, f \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ by the Jacobson-Morozov theorem.

adh defines a Dynkin \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_j$, which is good for χ .

• Good Z-grading for basic Lie superalgebras were classified by C. Hoyt (Israel J. Math. 2012).

Example. Let e = 0. Then $\chi = 0$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{m} = 0$,

8

$$Q_{\chi} = U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad W_{\chi} = U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}^e = \operatorname{Ker}(ade)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}^e = \mathfrak{g}^{\chi}$, dim $\mathfrak{g}^e = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_1$.

Definition. A nilpotent $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^*$ is called *regular* nilpotent if $G_{\bar{0}}$ -orbit of χ has maximal dimension, i.e. the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^{\chi}$ is minimal. Equivalently, a nilpotent $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is *regular* nilpotent, if the centralizer $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^e$ attains the minimal dimension, which is equal to rank $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

Example. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$.

 $e \in \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ is nilpotent if and only if e is an $n \times n$ -matrix with eigenvalues zero.

e is a **regular** nilpotent \iff its Jordan normal form contains a single Jordan block

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem. (B. Kostant, Invent. Math. 1978) For a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a *regular* nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}$, the finite W-algebra

 W_{χ} is isomorphic to the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

• This theorem does not hold for Lie superalgebras, since W_{χ} must have a non-trivial odd part, and the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is even.

Definition. Kazhdan filtration on W_{χ} .

Define the \mathbb{Z} -grading on $T(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by the shift by 2 of the fixed good \mathbb{Z} -grading. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ set

 $\deg X = j + 2.$

This induces a filtration on $U(\mathfrak{g})$, and therefore on $U(\mathfrak{g})/I_{\chi}$ and on $W_{\chi} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})/I_{\chi}$.

Theorem. (A. Premet, Adv. Math. 2002)

Let \mathfrak{g} be a semi-simple Lie algebra. Then the associated graded algebra $Gr(W_{\chi})$ is isomorphic to $S(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi})$; the center of W_{χ} coincides with the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

3. PREMET'S THEOREM FOR LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

• Let \mathfrak{l} be a Lagrangian subspace in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} , and let \mathfrak{l}' be some subspace in \mathfrak{g}_{-1} satisfying the following two properties:

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{l}'$

(2) \mathfrak{l}' contains a maximal isotropic subspace with respect to the form $\chi([\cdot, \cdot])$ on \mathfrak{g}_{-1} .

If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\overline{1}}$ is **even**, then \mathfrak{l}' is a maximal isotropic subspace. If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\overline{1}}$ is **odd**, then $\mathfrak{l}^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{l}'$ is one-dimensional and we fix **(an odd)** $\theta \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{l}'$ such that $\chi([\theta, \theta]) = 2$. Then $\pi(\theta) \in W_{\chi}$.

Conjecture.

Assume that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie superalgebra with reductive even part $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$.

If dim
$$(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\bar{1}}$$
 is **even**, then $Gr_K W_{\chi} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi})$

If dim
$$(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\overline{1}}$$
 is **odd**, then $Gr_K W_{\chi} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi]$,

where $\mathbb{C}[\xi]$ is the exterior algebra generated by one element ξ .

• Y. Zheng and B. Shu proved the PBW theorem for finite W-algebras for basic Lie superalgebras over \mathbb{C} of any type except $D(2, 1; \alpha)$, where $\alpha \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ (J. Algebra, 2015). They considered two cases depending on the parity of dim $(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})_{\overline{1}}$. As a Corollary they proved this Conjecture.

• We proved that if χ is regular nilpotent, and $\mathfrak{g} = D(2, 1; \alpha)$, then $Gr_K W_{\chi} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi]$. (E.P., J. Math. Phys. 2016).

4. Finite W-algebra for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$

• E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba first observed that in the case when \mathfrak{g} is the general linear Lie algebra and e consists of n Jordan blocks each of size l, the finite W-algebra for \mathfrak{g} is isomorphic to the truncated Yangian of level l associated to $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, which is a certain quotient of the Yangian Y_n for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. (Comm. Math. Phys. 1999).

• J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev generalized this result to an arbitrary nilpotent *e*, and obtained a realization of the finite *W*-algebra for the general linear Lie algebra as a quotient of a so-called shifted Yangian. (Adv. Math. 2006).

• J. Brown, J. Brundan and S. Goodwin proved that the finite W-algebra for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ associated to **regular (principal)** nilpotent element is a certain truncation of a shifted version of the super-Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$.

They also proved that all irreducible modules over this algebra are finite-dimensional and classified them by highest weight theory (Algebra Number Theory, 2013).

5. The super-Yangian of $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$

$$\mathfrak{gl}(1|1) = \{A = \left(\frac{a \mid b}{c \mid d}\right) \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}\} \qquad [A, B] = AB - (-1)^{p(A)p(B)}BA$$

Definition. The super-Yangian $Y_{1|1} = Y(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ is an associative unital superalgebra over \mathbb{C} with a countable set of generators

$$T_{i,j}^{(r)}$$
 where $i, j = 1, 2$, and $r \ge 0$.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of $Y_{1|1}$ is defined by

$$p(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = p(i) + p(j).$$

We employ the formal series:

$$T_{i,j}(u) = \sum_{r \ge 0} T_{i,j}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in Y_{1|1}[[u^{-1}]].$$

• Relations in $Y_{1|1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (u-v)[T_{i,j}(u), T_{k,l}(v)] &= \\ (-1)^{p(i)p(k)+p(i)p(l)+p(k)p(l)}((T_{k,j}(u)T_{i,l}(v) - T_{k,j}(v)T_{i,l}(u)). \end{aligned}$$

• The evaluation homomorphism $ev: Y_{1|1} \to U(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ is defined by

$$\operatorname{ev}(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{p(i)} e_{i,j} \text{ if } r = 1, \\ 0 \text{ if } r > 1 \end{cases}$$

• $Y_{1|1}$ is a **Hopf algebra** with **comultiplication** given by

$$\Delta(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = \sum_{s=0}^{r} \sum_{k} T_{i,k}^{(s)} \otimes T_{k,j}^{(r-s)}.$$

• Gauss factorization:

$$T(u) := \begin{pmatrix} T_{1,1}(u) & T_{1,2}(u) \\ T_{2,1}(u) & T_{2,2}(u) \end{pmatrix} = F(u)D(u)E(u)$$
$$D(u) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1(u) & 0 \\ 0 & d_2(u) \end{pmatrix}, \quad E(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e(u) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ f(u) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$d_i(u) = \sum_{r \ge 0} d_i^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad e(u) = \sum_{r \ge 1} e^{(r)} u^{-r}, \quad f(u) = \sum_{r \ge 1} f^{(r)} u^{-r}$$

• Drinfeld generators: $Y_{1|1}$ is generated by even elements $d_1^{(r)}, d_2^{(r)}$

for r > 0, and odd elements $e^{(r)}$, $f^{(r)}$ for r > 0.

6. Shifted super-Yangian $Y_{1|1}(\sigma)$

Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{1,2} \\ s_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } s_{1,2}, s_{2,1} \ge 0 \text{ are integers}$$

Definition. $Y_{1|1}(\sigma)$ is a subalgebra of $Y_{1|1}$ generated by $d_1^{(r)}, d_2^{(r)}$ for r > 0, $e^{(r)}$ for $r > s_{1,2}$ and $f^{(r)}$ for $r > s_{2,1}$.

• If
$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then $Y_{1|1}(\sigma) = Y_{1|1}$.

7. Principal W-algebra $W(\pi)$

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(l|k)$ is a general linear Lie superalgebra, $(x|y) = \operatorname{str}(xy)$. Assume that $l \ge k$.

Definition. π is a two-rowed *pyramid:* k is the number of boxes in the 1-st row, l is the number of boxes in the 2-nd row. Each row is a connected horizontal strip.

Definition. The *shift matrix* for π is

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{1,2} \\ s_{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \pi \text{ has}$$

 $s_{2,1}$ columns of hight one on its *left* side and $s_{1,2}$ columns of hight one on its *right* side, or if k = 0 and $l = s_{2,1} + s_{1,2}$.

• $l = s_{2,1} + k + s_{1,2}$.



• Pyramid π defines \mathbb{Z} -grading on \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(r)$$
 $\deg(e_{i,j}) := col(j) - col(i),$ $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}(0)$

• The explicit **principal** (regular) nilpotent element e is

$$e := \sum_{i,j} e_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$$

summing over all *adjacent pairs* (i, j) of boxes in π .

Example. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(5|2), e = e_{1,2} + e_{2,3} + e_{3,4} + e_{4,5} + e_{6,7}$

Remark. $e \in \mathfrak{g}(1)$. We double the degree to agree with the previous definition.

• $\chi(x) := (x|e)$. This is a good \mathbb{Z} -grading for χ .

The finite W-algebra $W(\pi)$ associated to the pyramid π is defined as usual.

Remark. In the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(l|l)$, \mathfrak{g}^{χ} is isomorphic to the truncated Lie superalgebra of polynomial currents in $\mathfrak{gl}(1|1)$:

 $\mathfrak{g}^{\chi} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(1|1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^l)$

Theorem. (Brown-Brundan-Goodwin, 2013)

Assume that e is a principal (regular) nilpotent element.

Special Case: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(l|l), \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$W(\pi) \cong Y_{1|1}^l,$$

that is the image of $Y_{1|1}$ under the homomorphism $\operatorname{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l : Y_{1|1} \longrightarrow [U(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))]^{\otimes l},$

where

$$\Delta_l: Y_{1|1} \longrightarrow Y_{1|1}^{\otimes l},$$

$$\Delta_l := \Delta_{l-1,l} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{2,3} \circ \Delta$$

is a homomorphism of associative algebras.

The General Case: g = gl(l|k).

 $W(\pi) \cong Y_{1|1}^{l}(\sigma) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}_{1})^{\otimes s_{2,1}} \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))^{\otimes k} \otimes U(\mathfrak{gl}_{1})^{\otimes s_{1,2}} \cong U(\mathfrak{h})$ where $U(\mathfrak{gl}_{1}) := \mathbb{C}[e_{1,1}], l = s_{2,1} + k + s_{1,2}.$

 $Y_{1|1}^{l}(\sigma) \cong Y_{1|1}(\sigma)/I^{l}(\sigma),$

where $I^{l}(\sigma)$ is the two-sided ideal generated by $d_{1}^{(r)}$ for r > k.

Theorem. (Y. Peng, J. Algebra, 2015) Peng described the finite W-algebra for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(M|N)$ associated to a nilpotent e in the case when

the Jordan type of e satisfies the following condition:

 $e = e_M \oplus e_N$,

where e_M is principal nilpotent in $\mathfrak{gl}(M|0)$ and

the sizes of the Jordan blocks of e_N are all greater or equal to M.

Signed pyramids: M is the number of boxes with +

 ${\cal N}$ is the number of boxes with -



The top row of π is the only row assigned with +

Example.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2|7), \qquad \pi = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c|c} \overline{1} & \overline{2} \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \end{array}}_{l = 4, \qquad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & | 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & | 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Peng proved that $W(\pi) \cong Y_{1|n}^{l}(\sigma)$, where n+1 is the hight of the pyramid π , l is the length of the bottom row, and σ is the shift matrix.

Theorem. (Y. Peng, Lett. Math. Phys., 2014) Let $e \in \mathfrak{gl}(ml|nl)$ be a nilpotent element, whose Jordan blocks are all of size l. Then the associated finite W-algebra is isomorphic to $Y_{m|n}^{l} = Y_{m|n}/I^{l}$, where I^{l} is the 2-sided ideal of $Y_{m|n}$ generated by the elements $\{T_{i,j}^{(r)}|1 \leq i, j \leq m+n, r > l\}$. $Y_{m|n}^{l}$ is identified with the image of $Y_{m|n}$ under the map

$$\operatorname{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l : Y_{m|n} \longrightarrow [U(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))]^{\otimes l}.$$

8. The queer Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{n})$

$$Q(n) = \left\{ \left(\frac{A \mid B}{B \mid A} \right) \mid A, B \text{ are } n \times n \text{ matrices} \right\}$$

• Supercommutator: $[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)}YX.$

 $e_{i,j}$ and $f_{i,j}$ are standard bases in A and B respectively:

$$e_{i,j} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_{ij} & 0\\ \hline 0 & E_{ij} \end{array}\right), \quad f_{i,j} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & E_{ij}\\ \hline E_{ij} & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

 $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i,i}$ is a central element

• Q(n) admits an **odd** non-degenerate **g**-invariant super-symmetric bilinear form

$$(x|y) := otr(xy) \text{ for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

$$otr\left(\frac{A \mid B}{B \mid A}\right) = trB$$

$$SQ(n) := \{ X \in Q(n) \mid \text{otr} X = 0 \}.$$
$$\tilde{Q}(n) := SQ(n) / \langle z \rangle \text{ is simple for } n \ge 3.$$

9. Finite W-algebra for Q(n)

Let $\mathfrak{g} = Q(n)$. Let $\mathfrak{sl}(2) = \langle e, h, f \rangle$, where

$$e = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_{l(p-1)+i,l(p-1)+i+1},$$

$$f = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i(l-i)e_{l(p-1)+i+1,l(p-1)+i},$$

$$h = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l} (l-2i+1)e_{l(p-1)+i,l(p-1)+i}.$$

Thus e is an even nilpotent element in Q(n).

• Note that e is a nilpotent $n \times n$ -matrix, whose Jordan blocks are all of size l. # Jordan blocks is $\frac{n}{l}$. Example

$$e \in Q(8), \qquad n = 8, \qquad l = 4, \qquad \frac{n}{l} = 2$$



• We replace
$$e = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} e_{l(p-1)+i,l(p-1)+i+1}$$
 (even)
by

 $E = \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} f_{l(p-1)+i,l(p-1)+i+1} \ (odd).$

Example

 $e \in Q(8)$ (even)

32

 $e \in Q(8) \quad (even) \longrightarrow E \in Q(8) \quad (odd)$



34

There is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$, where Π is the change of parity.

• Define an even nilpotent $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$\chi(x) := (x|E) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}^E := \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, E] = 0 \}$$

be the *centralizer* of E in \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\chi} = \mathfrak{g}^{E} = <\sum_{i=1}^{l-k} e_{l(p-1)+i,l(q-1)+i+k} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{l-k} (-1)^{i+k-1} f_{l(p-1)+i,l(q-1)+i+k} >,$$

where $1 \le p, q \le \frac{n}{l}, \ k = 0, 1, \dots, l-1.$
$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}^{E}) = (\frac{n^{2}}{l} \mid \frac{n^{2}}{l}).$$

• χ is *regular* nilpotent $\iff \dim(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) = (n|n) \iff \#$ Jordan blocks in e is one: $l = n, \frac{n}{l} = 1.$ **Example.** $\mathfrak{g} = Q(3), \quad l = 3, \quad \dim(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) = (3|3)$ \mathfrak{g}^{χ} is spanned by

•

• adh defines an even \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j=2-2l}^{2l-2} \mathfrak{g}_j,$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{ad}h(x) = jx\},$$
$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \{0\} \quad \text{for odd } j.$$

• This \mathbb{Z} -grading is called Dynkin, and it is good for χ .

 $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0.$

Example

$$\mathfrak{g} = Q(8) : n = 8, \quad l = 4, \quad \frac{n}{l} = 2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline & -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -2 & 0 & -$$

$$\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathfrak{g}_{-2j}.$$

The left ideal I_{χ} and W_{χ} are defined now as usual. Let

$$\mathfrak{p} := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{l-1} \mathfrak{g}_{2j}$$

be a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where

$$\mathfrak{n} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathfrak{g}_{2j}.$$

Since the Z-grading is even, the algebra W_{χ} can be regarded as a *subalgebra* of $U(\mathfrak{p})$. Let

 $U(\mathfrak{p})^+ := \bigoplus_{i>0} U(\mathfrak{p})_{2i}.$

It is a two sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{p})$ and $U(\mathfrak{p})/U(\mathfrak{p})^+ \cong U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$.

• Let $\vartheta: U(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ be the natural projection.

Theorem. The restriction to W_{χ} is the Harish-Chandra homomorphism

 $\vartheta: W_{\chi} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_0),$

which is injective.

10. Generators of W_{χ} for Q(n)

• A. Sergeev recursively defined the elements $e_{i,j}^{(m)}$ and $f_{i,j}^{(m)}$ of U(Q(n)):

$$e_{i,j}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{i,k} e_{k,j}^{(m-1)} + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{i,k} f_{k,j}^{(m-1)},$$

$$f_{i,j}^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{i,k} f_{k,j}^{(m-1)} + (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{i,k} e_{k,j}^{(m-1)},$$

where $e_{i,j}^{(0)} = \delta_{i,j}$ and $f_{i,j}^{(0)} = 0$. (Lett. Math. Phys. 1983)

Theorem. $\pi(e_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+k)})$ and $\pi(f_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+k)})$ for $p,q = 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{l}$ and $k = 0, \ldots, l-1$ generate W_{χ} .

Idea of Proof. Let P(X) be the highest weight component of $Gr_K(X)$. Then

$$P\Big(\pi(e_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+k)})\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^{l-k} e_{l(p-1)+i,l(q-1)+i+k},$$
$$P\Big(\pi(f_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+k)})\Big) = \sum_{i=1}^{l-k} (-1)^{i+k-1} f_{l(p-1)+i,l(q-1)+i+k},$$

and these elements form a homogeneous basis of \mathfrak{g}^{χ} . $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^{\chi}) = (\frac{n^2}{l} | \frac{n^2}{l}).$ Corollary.

$$Gr_K W_\chi \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^\chi)$$

Hence Conjecture is true in this case.

11. Super-Yangian of Q(n)

Super-Yangian Y(Q(n)) was introduced by M. Nazarov. *(Lecture Notes in Math. 1992)* • Y(Q(n)) is the associative unital superalgebra over \mathbb{C} with the countable set of generators $T_{i,j}^{(m)}$ where m = 1, 2, ... and $i, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \pm n$.

• The \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of the algebra Y(Q(n)) is defined as follows:

$$p(T_{i,j}^{(m)}) = p(i) + p(j)$$

where p(i) = 0 if i > 0 and p(i) = 1 if i < 0.

• To write down defining relations for these generators we employ the formal series in $Y(Q(n))[[u^{-1}]]$:

$$T_{i,j}(u) = \delta_{i,j} \cdot 1 + T_{i,j}^{(1)} u^{-1} + T_{i,j}^{(2)} u^{-2} + \dots$$

$$(u^{2} - v^{2})[T_{i,j}(u), T_{k,l}(v)] \cdot (-1)^{p(i)p(k) + p(i)p(l) + p(k)p(l)}$$

$$= (u + v)(T_{k,j}(u)T_{i,l}(v) - T_{k,j}(v)T_{i,l}(u))$$

$$- (u - v)(T_{-k,j}(u)T_{-i,l}(v) - T_{k,-j}(v)T_{i,-l}(u)) \cdot (-1)^{p(k) + p(l)}$$

$$(1)$$

$$T_{i,j}(-u) = T_{-i,-j}(u)$$
(2)

• Y(Q(n)) is a Hopf superalgebra with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = \sum_{s=0}^{r} \sum_{k} (-1)^{(p(i)+p(k))(p(j)+p(k))} T_{i,k}^{(s)} \otimes T_{k,j}^{(r-s)}.$$

• The *opposite comultiplication* is given by

$$\Delta^{op}(T_{i,j}^{(r)}) = \sum_{s=0}^{r} \sum_{k} T_{k,j}^{(r-s)} \otimes T_{i,k}^{(s)}.$$

Combine the series for $T_{i,j}(u)$ into the single element

$$T(u) = \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j} \otimes T_{i,j}(u)$$
 of the algebra $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n|n}) \otimes Y(Q(n))[[u^{-1}]].$

The element T(u) is invertible and we put

$$T(u)^{-1} = \sum_{i,j} E_{i,j} \otimes \tilde{T}_{i,j}(u).$$

• The assignment $T_{i,j}(u) \mapsto \tilde{T}_{i,j}(u)$ defines the antipodal map

$$S:Y(Q(n))\longrightarrow Y(Q(n)),$$

which is an anti-automorphism of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra Y(Q(n)).

Definition. An anti-homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ of associative Lie superalgebras is a linear map, which preserves the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading and satisfies for any homogeneous $X, Y \in \mathbf{A}$

$$\varphi(XY) = (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)}\varphi(Y)\varphi(X).$$

Let

$$\Delta_l^{op}: Y(Q(n)) \longrightarrow Y(Q(n))^{\otimes l}$$

where

$$\Delta_l^{op} := \Delta_{l-1,l}^{op} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{2,3}^{op} \circ \Delta^{op}$$

• There exists a homomorphism $U: Y(Q(n)) \to U(Q(n))$ defined as follows

$$\begin{split} T_{i,j}^{(r)} &\mapsto (-1)^r e_{j,i}^{(r)}, \text{ if } i > 0, j > 0, r > 0, \\ T_{i,j}^{(r)} &\mapsto (-1)^r f_{j,-i}^{(r)}, \text{ if } i < 0, j > 0, r > 0, \\ T_{i,j}^{(0)} &\mapsto \delta_{i,j}. \end{split}$$

This follows from the results of M. Nazarov and A. Sergeev.

Main Theorem (2017). Let e be an even nilpotent element in Q(n) whose Jordan blocks are each of size l. Then the finite W-algebra for Q(n) is isomorphic to the image of $Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))$ under the homomorphism

$$U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op} : Y(Q(\frac{n}{l})) \longrightarrow (U(Q(\frac{n}{l})))^{\otimes l}.$$

We proved this theorem in the *regular* case, i.e. l = n in Adv. Math. 300 (2016).

46

Idea of proof.

• There exists a surjective homomorphism:

$$\varphi:Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))\longrightarrow W_{\chi}$$

defined as follows:

$$\varphi(T_{q,p}^{(r)}) = (-1)^r \pi(e_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+r-1)}), \quad \varphi(T_{-q,p}^{(r)}) = (-1)^r \pi(f_{lp,l(q-1)+1}^{(l+r-1)})$$

 \mathbf{i}

for r = 1, 2, ...

In fact, the Harish-Chandra homomorphism

$$\begin{split} \vartheta &: W_{\chi} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_0), \\ U(\mathfrak{g}_0) &\cong U(Q(\frac{n}{l}))^{\otimes l} \\ \varphi &= \vartheta^{-1} \circ U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op} \end{split}$$

is injective. We have

Then

Hence

$$W_{\chi} \cong U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op}(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l})))$$

Let

$$\Delta_l: Y(Q(n)) \longrightarrow Y(Q(n))^{\otimes l},$$

where

$$\Delta_l := \Delta_{l-1,l} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{2,3} \circ \Delta_{2,3}$$

Definition. The evaluation homomorphism

 $ev:Y(Q(n))\to U(Q(n))$

is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i,j}^{(1)} \mapsto -e_{j,i}, \quad T_{-i,j}^{(1)} \mapsto -f_{j,i} \text{ for } i, j > 0, \quad T_{i,j}^{(0)} \mapsto \delta_{i,j} \\ T_{i,j}^{(r)} \mapsto 0 \text{ for } r > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem.

$$W_{\chi} \cong ev^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l})))$$

Idea of proof. Consider an anti-homomorphism

$$ev: Y(Q(n)) \to U(Q(n)),$$

defined by $e\overline{v} := \alpha \circ ev$,

where α is the *principal anti-automorphism* of the enveloping superalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\alpha: X \mapsto -X \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

1)
$$(U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op})(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))) = (\bar{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l)(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))).$$

Lemma.
 $(\bar{ev} \circ S)(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}) = U(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}).$

This implies that

$$(\bar{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ S^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op})(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}) = (U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op})(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}).$$

Finally, the following diagram, where $Y := Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))$ is commutative:

Hence

$$(\bar{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l \circ S)(T^{(r)}_{\pm q,p}) = (U^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l^{op})(T^{(r)}_{\pm q,p}).$$

2) $(e\overline{v}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l)(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))) = (ev^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l)(Y(Q(\frac{n}{l})))$ follows from

$$\bar{ev}^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}) = (-1)^r ev^{\otimes l} \circ \Delta_l(T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)}).$$

Conjecture.

$$W_{\chi} \cong Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))/I^l,$$

where I^l is the 2-sided ideal of $Y(Q(\frac{n}{l}))$ generated by the elements

$$\{T_{\pm q,p}^{(r)} \mid 1 \le q, p \le \frac{n}{l}, r > l\}$$

Problem: Describe the finite W-algebra for Q(n) associated to an *arbitrary* nilpotent element.

12. W_{χ} when χ is regular nilpotent

Theorem. (Adv. Math. 2016)

If $\mathfrak{g} = Q(n)$ and χ is regular nilpotent, then

(1) the center of W_{χ} coincides with the center of U(Q(n)).

(2) there exist n even and n odd generators in W_{χ} , such that all even generators commute and generate the polynomial subalgebra of rank n in W_{χ} , and the commutators of odd generators lie in the center of W_{χ} .

The proof is based on the surjective homomorphism:

 $\varphi: Y(Q(1)) \longrightarrow W_{\chi},$

and the following relation in Y(Q(1)): if r + s is even, then

$$[T_{1,1}^{(r)}, T_{1,1}^{(s)}] = 0.$$

Conjecture. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic Lie superalgebra and χ be regular nilpotent.

Then it is possible to find a set of generators of W_{χ} such that even generators commute, and the commutators of odd generators are in the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

• Brown, Brundan and Goodwin proved this Conjecture for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.

Theorem. (Adv. Math. 2016) Let $\mathfrak{g} = Q(n)$ and χ be regular nilpotent. Let M be a simple W_{χ} -module. Then

dim
$$M \le 2^{k+1}$$
, where $k = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

The proof is based on the Amitsur–Levitzki theorem.

Theorem. (A.-L.) If A_1, \ldots, A_{2n} are $n \times n$ matrices, then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} sgn(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1)} \dots A_{\sigma(2n)} = 0.$$

Idea of Proof. The Harish-Chandra homomorphism

$$\vartheta: W_{\chi} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{h})$$

is injective, where

$$\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}_0 = \langle e_{i,i} \mid f_{i,i} \rangle, \quad [f_{i,i}, f_{i,i}] = 2e_{i,i}$$

(1) $U(\mathfrak{h})$ satisfies A_{-L} identity, i.e. for any $u_1, \ldots, u_{2^{k+1}} \in U(\mathfrak{h})$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_{2^{k+1}}} sgn(\sigma) u_{\sigma(1)} \dots u_{\sigma(2^{k+1})} = 0.$$
(*)

(2) W_{χ} satisfies A.-L. identity, since $W_{\chi} \cong \vartheta(W_{\chi}) \subset U(\mathfrak{h})$.

(3) Consider M as a module over the associative algebra W_{χ} , forgetting the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. Then either M is simple or M is a direct sum of two non-homogeneous simple submodules $M_1 \oplus M_2$.

(a) In the former case dim $M \leq 2^k$.

Assume dim $M > 2^k$. Let V be a subspace of dimension $2^k + 1$. By density theorem for any $X_1, \ldots, X_{2^{k+1}} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ one can find $u_1, \ldots, u_{2^{k+1}}$ in W_{χ} such that $(u_i)_{|V} = X_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, 2^{k+1}$. Since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ does not satisfy (*) we obtain a contradiction.

(b) In the latter case, we can prove in the same way that dim $M_1 \leq 2^k$ and dim $M_2 \leq 2^k$. Therefore dim $M \leq 2^{k+1}$.

14. Defect

Definition. Let \mathfrak{g} be a basic Lie superalgebra, and let Δ be the set of roots with respect to a maximal torus in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Then the *defect* of \mathfrak{g} is the dimension of a maximal isotropic subspace in the \mathbb{R} -span of Δ .

Example.

 $def(\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)) = min(m,n),$

 $def(\mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n)) = def(\mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n)) = min(m,n).$

The exceptional Lie superalgebras

 $D(2, 1; \alpha), G(3), F(4)$

have defect one.

Theorem. (Adv. Math. 2016)

For a basic Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , if χ is regular nilpotent, then all irreducible representations of W_{χ} are finite-dimensional:

 $\dim M \le 2^{k+1}$

k = d or k = d + 1, where d is the defect of \mathfrak{g} :

•
$$k = d$$
, if \mathfrak{g} is of type I: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n), \mathfrak{osp}(2|2n),$

or \mathfrak{g} is of type II and dim $(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^{\chi})$ is even: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n)$ for $m \ge n$, $\mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n)$ for $m \le n$, G_3 .

•
$$k = d + 1$$
, if \mathfrak{g} is of type II and dim $(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}^{\chi})$ is *odd*:
 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(2m+1|2n)$ for $m < n$, $\mathfrak{osp}(2m|2n)$ for $m > n$, $D(2,1;\alpha)$, F_4 .

Idea of Proof.

(1) If \mathfrak{g} is of **Type I**, then it admits an **even** good \mathbb{Z} -grading for a regular χ . Then there is an injective homomorphism

$$\vartheta: W_{\chi} \longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}_0).$$

(2) If \mathfrak{g} is of **Type II**, then it admits **no even** good \mathbb{Z} -grading for a regular χ . One can construct an injective homomorphism

$$\vartheta: W_{\chi} \longrightarrow \bar{W}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\chi},$$

where $\bar{W}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\chi}$ is "the finite W-algebra" of \mathfrak{s} :

 \mathfrak{s} is the Levi subalgebra of a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} , such that $\mathfrak{n}^- \subset \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{p}^-$, where \mathfrak{n}^- is the nilradical of the opposite parabolic \mathfrak{p}^- .

 $\bar{W}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\chi} = (U(\mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{s}})} C_{\chi})^{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{s}}}$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{s}$, χ is the restriction of χ on \mathfrak{s} .

(3) If χ is regular, then $U(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ (correspondingly, $\overline{W}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\chi}$) satisfies the Amitsur–Levitzki identity. Hence W_{χ} satisfies the Amitsur–Levitzki identity.

Problem: Classify the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of finite W-algebras.

15. References

- J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras, Adv. Math. 200 (2006), 136–195.
- [2] B. Kostant, On Wittaker vectors and representation theory, Invent. Math. 48 (1978) 101-184.
- [3] M. Nazarov, Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra, Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) 195–223.
- [4] M. Nazarov, A. Sergeev, Centralizer construction of the Yangian of the queer Lie superalgebra, Studies in Lie Theory, 417-441, Progr. Math. 243 (2006).
- [5] E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova, On Kostant's theorem for the Lie superalgebra Q(n). Advances in Mathematics **300** (2016), 320–359. arXiv:1403.3866v1.
- [6] E. Poletaeva, V. Serganova, On the finite W-algebra for the Lie superalgebra Q(n)in the non-regular case. arXiv:1705.10200.
- [7] A. Premet, Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras, Adv. Math. 170 (2002) 1–55.
- [8] E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, Yangian realizations from finite W-algebras, Comm. Math. Phys. 203 (1999), no. 3, 551-572.
- [9] A. Sergeev, The centre of enveloping algebra for Lie superalgebra $Q(n, \mathbb{C})$, Lett. Math. Phys. 7 (1983) 177–179.